1	BRUNO W. TARABICHI, CA State Bar No bruno@legalforcelaw.com	Io. 215129
2	HEATHER R. NORTON, CA State Bar No heather@legalforcelaw.com	o. 257014
3	SCOTT J. ALLEN, CA State Bar No. 17892 scott@legalforcelaw.com	025
4	BRIAN ORION, CA State Bar No. 239460 brian@legalforcelaw.com	
5	LEGALFORCE RAJ ABHYANKER, P.C 1580 W. El Camino Real, Suite 13	2.
6 7	Mountain View, California 94040 Telephone: 650.965.8731 Facsimile: 650.989.2131	
8	Attorneys for Defendant Raj Abhyanker	
9	•	TES DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DIS	STRICT OF CALIFORNIA
11	SAN FRAN	NCISCO DIVISION
12	NEXTDOOR.COM, INC., a Delaware	1
13	corporation,	Case No. 3:12-cv-05667-EMC
14	Plaintiff,	DECLARATION OF BRIAN ORION IN SUPPORT OF MOTION TO WITHDRAW
15 16	vs. RAJ ABHYANKER, an individual,	AS COUNSEL BY BRUNO TARABICHI, HEATHER NORTON, SCOTT ALLEN, ROY MONTGOMERY AND BRIAN
17	Defendant.	ORION
18		Date: July 17, 2014 Time: 1:30 p.m.
19	RAJ ABHYANKER, an individual	Courtroom: 5 – 17 <sup>th</sup> Floor Judge: Honorable Edward M. Chen
20	Counterclaimant,	
21	VS.	
22	NEXTDOOR.COM, INC., a Delaware corporation; PRAKASH JANAKIRAMAN, an individual;	
23	BENCHMARK CAPITAL PARTNERS, L.P., a Delaware limited partnership;	
24	BENCHMARK CAPITAL MANAGEMENT CO. LLC, a Delaware	
25	limited liability company; SANDEEP SOOD, an individual; MONSOON	
26	ENTERPRISES, INC., a California corporation, and DOES 1–50, inclusive;	
27	Counterdefendants.	
28		L

ORION DECLARATION (CASE NO. 3:12-cv-05667-EMC)

#### I, BRIAN ORION, declare as follows:

1. I am an attorney at law, duly admitted into practice before all courts for the State of California. I am counsel for Defendant Raj Abhyanker. I make this Declaration in support of the Motion to Withdraw as Counsel By Bruno Tarabichi, Heather Norton, Scott Allen, Roy Montgomery, and Brian Orion. The matters set forth herein are of my own personal knowledge, and if called upon to testify as to such matters, I could and would do so.

## Request For Hearing To Be Held In Camera Without Opposing Counsel

- 2. My legal research regarding the recommended best practices for withdrawing in the instant situation reveals that an attorney should request that the court hear the motion to withdraw *ex parte*, in camera. This is the recommended practice by official publications of the California State Bar, such as the California Bar Journal, as well as relevant judicial decisions. It appears that sometimes the presiding Judge will order another judge to conduct the in camera review to avoid prejudice or bias moving forward. *See*, *e.g.*, Diane Karpman, How to Safely Withdraw From a Case, California Bar Journal (May 2010); *United States v. D'Armond*, 65 F. Supp. 2d 1189, 1193 (D. Kan. 1999) (hearing counsel's proffer in support of motion to withdraw *ex parte*, in camera).
- 3. In order to avoid any breaches of confidentiality to my client Mr. Abhyanker that may result from briefing and hearing the Motion to Withdraw on the record, I respectfully request permission to proffer my reasons in support of withdrawal during an *ex parte*, in camera hearing.

## The California Rules of Professional Conduct Require Withdrawal

4. Based on my review and analysis of Rule 3-700 of the California Rules of Professional Conduct, I believe that I am ethically required to seek to withdraw in the instant case. I would request permission to proffer the reasons supporting the request to withdraw confidentially in an *ex parte*, in camera hearing so as to not prejudice my client.

## **Notice Has Been Given to Client**

5. I have provided advance notice of my intent to seek withdrawal in this case to my client Raj Abhyanker. Mr. Abhyanker has not provided his consent to the withdrawal.

# 

1	I declare under penalty of perjury under the laws of the State of California that the
2	foregoing is true and correct.
3	Executed June 11, 2014 at San Francisco, California.
4	
5	/s/ Brian Orion Brian Orion
6	
7	
8	
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	
24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	1